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Introduction

How sure are you about the world that you live in? Can you be absolutely, 100% certain of anything that you know for a fact? What if how you see the world is very different than how it actually is? What if there are so many hidden elements of your reality that even a little knowledge of it could completely change how you see the world forever?

How much do we really know when we cannot trust the information that we are being given about current events, and can trust information about the past even less? Why are some subjects – particularly with history – never talked about? Why are we to believe very suspicious periods of time without question, such as the so-called ‘Dark Ages’ where exactly one thousand years of nothing happened? Is large-scale deception possible? Why are some alternate, yet benign theories about the world – such as a ‘flat’ Earth – attacked so viciously by every mainstream aspect of society, while others are never mentioned by them at all? Are there entities that have the power and resources to pull off a large-scale deception? If so, has it happened before and, perhaps, is it still going on even now?

What can we really trust about any science ‘authority’ if we are told to accept popular, yet unproven, theories as facts that have already been settled? If scientists claim that they don’t know what 95% of the universe is made of, how can they be so sure about nearly everything? How much do we really know when we are unable to perceive more than 99% of the electromagnetic spectrum of light, sound, and other energies that make up our reality? Can we understand who we really are when scientists admit that less than 1% of a human body’s DNA is actually human and only about 10% of a human body’s cells are of human origin? Can we understand reality when we have very little understanding of consciousness, and a third of our life is a mystery because we don’t know what dreams are? What does it mean for our conscious self when we have very little understanding of our deeper, subconscious self? Can we understand the universe when time and gravity are just as mysterious as human intelligence and emotions? What does it mean that we can understand the origins of a sandwich we made five minutes ago more than the origins of a belief we’ve held onto for twenty years?

Scientists admit that they have no real explanation for what energy or gravity are but can assure you that they are as we think of them, because they can be measured and predicted under specific conditions. After thousands of years of being aware of them, we should know what they are by now. We should be able to measure them under any conditions, even their affect on our bodies. Or, perhaps, we are just not told what they really are.

If there is room for doubt, perhaps things are very different than what they appear to be. And perhaps many things are not what we are told they are, for reasons that we will explore.

This book is a short trip beyond the reality that we think we’re already familiar with. It is an exploration into the fundamental ways the public has been deceived for the past several hundred years and, more importantly, the ways we have been deceiving ourselves personally since we were born.
Part 1

The Grand Deception: How You Are Being Deceived

Much of what we understand about the world around us is dictated by authorities. These authorities act as standards in our reality that allow people to save time and not have to duplicate efforts. A person can just learn, do, or use what is generally accepted and either accept it themselves, or not.

Authorities can be good and noble, but only when they don’t intend to obstruct or misdirect us on whatever journey we happen to find ourselves. They can build useful roads and other types of infrastructure to help us live more easily, propagate ideas and new information, enforce laws when needed, standardize systems of knowledge, and much more.

Naturally, with authority comes power. It is human nature to seek out maximum advantage in important situations. However, this often becomes a disadvantage to those subject to the authority.

This is the position that we find ourselves in today.

Authority maintains power by being opaque, with a façade of transparency. Governments, multi-national conglomerates, and other entities that rely on authority for their existence are not your friend. You’re not supposed to know how things work or be aware of the world around you, because being aware of how they work is a direct threat to their power.

Because you could easily figure things out if you see something plainly, what you are shown is often not how things really are. Deception is required from every organization that wants to retain its authority.

Perhaps, in future, there will be more organizations that distribute their authority equally in order to minimize deception and unjust manipulation, but the world in which we live today has deception embedded in its very foundation.

The more an authority can deceive, the more powerful it can become. It is the duty of any authority to become as powerful as possible in order to further its own interests, stay competitive and, of course, survive.

The more incentive there is to deceive, the more deception there will be.

The most powerful authorities in a society are not about any ‘elite’ or highest levels of government, but are the ones that you don’t really think about. Thus, they are not targets. They are authoritative without requiring any military defences. There are no protests against them. There are no parties or sub-groups to focus your dissatisfaction on. They are official without elected officials. They are the default where no one is held accountable or at fault if proven wrong.

They are the authorities that people know of and accept without question: science and education. They are the classrooms, textbooks, agencies, dictionaries, encyclopaedias, and accepted bodies of knowledge that serve as references in our own interpretation of reality.

As these are the most powerful authorities in society, these are also the ones that have deceived society the most. While people have been distracted by the endless political theatre of governments on local and global stages, there has been a war waged on the human mind that has been going on for centuries.

The ultimate goal of any authority is to condition you to believe that they, not you, are the author of your reality. As there is no end to one’s reality, the ability of any authority to deceive is unlimited. Since deception is hidden by default, it is difficult to know the ways in which we have already been deceived without our knowing.

We learn about the deceivers’ version of the world from a young age. We learn that the world is round, gravity keeps things down, the Earth changes slowly over millions of years, we are
insignificant in the universe, things happen randomly to us, we evolved from nothing, and so much else that suits their deceptive agenda. Many of our most fundamental assumptions about reality are not those that we have determined for ourselves but those that we have adopted without question because no one questions them.

They are the lies that make our lives more convenient.

Any attempt to point out deception is deemed ‘conspiracy theory’, a phrase which they, conveniently, made up to make anyone asking such questions seem like a paranoid lunatic. However, the definition of ‘conspiracy’ is ‘secret plan’.

Not only do secret plans exist, they may be more common than the plans that we know about. How well do you know about the plan for the city in which you live? The place you work or visit often? Do any of your close relatives have plans that you don’t know about, but may still affect the family regardless?

Every aspect of human society has a secret plan at its core. Though most could be said to be relatively harmless, it is important to know about the ones that are not. But, you will be told that no evil plans exist and, if they ever did, it was only in the past and has nothing to do with your current reality.

It is the Great Deception.

You – along with billions of others – are kept in the dark because authorities would lose their power if you were aware of how things really are. We each desire to do as much as we can to survive no matter who we are, and that includes any authorities that exist. Everyone thinks they are doing what is right, under their own circumstances.

Governments, including scientific and educational aspects, only seem slow, complex, and ineffective because people don’t know how much deception there really is going on under the surface. Fundamental deception requires a tremendous amount of time and resources.

It is no coincidence that those involved in the biggest deceptions just so happen to have the biggest budgets.

You are being deceived at the highest levels of reality in both space and time – literally. You are not told that everything in your perspective is local, from the farthest stars and the most distant of events to the people and places around you.

Instead, you are told that the laws that govern everything are unbelievably complex and you should, instead, focus on your work or the latest entertainment. Nevermind that those fundamental laws would need to be simple enough to serve as the foundation for your every experience, no matter how mundane it is.

Much of history is completely fabricated by authorities who have traditionally been authorities of knowledge and history. By hiding the big picture, you get lost in the seemingly endless details. This is how they are able to retain their power.

You have been conditioned to be a passive observer of your own reality rather than an active participant in its production. Every bit of information that would help you to discover that you are at the center of your reality – because it is you at the core of your perspective – is hidden or, more often the case, redefined.

The masters of deception have six ways to deceive the masses. All six methods of deception are meant to waste your time so that you never figure out that you are being deceived. They are meant to take away your power by confusing your conscious and subconscious mind.

The first is an inversion of reality. This is getting you to believe, for example, that good is bad and bad is good. Female becomes male, male becomes female. North becomes South, and South becomes North. This is a way to disorient your thinking so that you have trouble
thinking rationally and can more easily be told how to think. And, if any bit of information is repeated a number of times (and by multiple persons) it will eventually become accepted as true. You will, thus, believe that the North pole is actually the South pole. In this way secret groups were born to purposefully deceive the masses through coordinated efforts.

However, these groups are just branches of a much older secret cult. The agents of chaos that belong to it have the inversion of your reality as its basis. At the core of their belief is that if they can get you to accept their deceptive version of reality they will be able to gain supernatural powers and become god-like. Over time their ability to deceive has only improved to the point where it has become a culture of deception that is embedded into every aspect of our society. Being masters of deception has, unfortunately, provided this cult with a very powerful advantage in their endless pursuits. They are the primary psychopaths.

They, for example, may deceive the public by presenting men who appear to be women (and vice versa) in all corners of public-facing society in ways that are far, far more common than might be realized. This kind of deception is not the exception, but the rule. As a general rule of thumb, if a person is promoted in media, one can be nearly 100% certain there is some kind of inverted deception going on. For them, media without inversion serves no purpose.

You can also become demoralized when you find out that something you thought was good is not actually. This is meant to confuse your sense of reality. The Church, for example, actively encourages media outlets to publicize reports of abuse within it, in much the same way they encouraged Copernicus to publicize his anti-Biblical model of the solar system hundreds of years ago.

This method includes 'projection', when the agents of chaos accuse others of doing what they themselves are doing or have been doing all along.

The second method is to create multiple layers of deception, or metafiction. A good magician has something for everyone to look at while they’re performing their tricks. This could be, for example, a local building that is used for human trafficking. After putting up a sign in front that says 'Cookies for Sale' the agents of chaos will create one or more layers of fiction. Most people will accept that the facility sells cookies. For those that don't, there is metafiction ready: a rumor that the facility is used for adult parties where politicians can go without being seen (and perhaps create supporting material with real politicians). If you don't accept the first layer, you'll be more attracted to the alternative(s) that they have created for you. That way, someone that knows what is really going on simply won't be believed or listened to by anyone. The person that knows about the human trafficking won't be listened to by people who believe it's a cookie shop. They also won't be listened to by the person that knows there is something fishy going on, but heard about sex parties.

Metafiction is highly effective for purposes of deception and is, again, far more common than is realized.

The third method is to create dramas in order to keep people distracted and unfocused. This happens quite often in society, and is coordinated and intentional. If larger groups of people are beginning to ‘figure things out’ there might be an event of some kind or trivial matter that the media focuses on to make people forget of what they were thinking, and so change focus.

The fourth is deception by association. It is attaching what is 'true' to something that is ridiculous. If, for example, the agents of chaos did not want people to give serious consideration to a particular idea they would create a fringe group that held those ideas, or ask a celebrity to pretend to believe them. They would then make the group or celebrity seem strange or crazy so that anyone considering the ‘truth’ would be turned off in their pursuit after the uncomfortable association is made. This also has the benefit of shepherding people that know the idea to be true to join the group (or be influenced) and be directed away from
The fifth way of deception is through re-definition of terms. This is meant to foster ignorance so that you don't know how to properly think of something. The effect of this method is compounded over time. If, for example, someone used the term 'slave' in the 15th century, those in the 21st century would believe that the definition is the same now as it was then. This is often done intentionally, as the same secret, magic-based cult that is deceiving you is the same group that has the authority to define the words and terms being used (without exception).

This also means that some terms are simply erased from the lexicon so that what those terms represented is much harder to conceive of.

Redefinition of terms also includes inversion of terms. This works well to change assumptions about something. If, for example, they wanted to go to war with a country, they would get them to sign a Common Peace Treaty Between Two Nations. The public will automatically assume that the name fits the reality.

The sixth method is deception by control of alternatives. If they create an entity, they will be the first to create its alternative. Where they don't create alternatives first, they infiltrate and control any real alternatives that pop up. There are only a few very rare exceptions to this. The alternative will pretend to work against the mainstream entity (and sometimes very aggressively so) when they are both controlled by the same cult.

The agents of chaos set up the game so that they win no matter what the outcome is.

Sometimes, however, the only deception that is needed is to make something so complicated and nuanced that anyone who discovers the deception can just be said to not understand it, effectively shutting them down.

The Science Illusion

Many of us are familiar with the double-slit experiment in quantum physics, where light shining through two holes seemingly scatters into four projections of light. The double-slit experiment is fundamental to quantum physics, as it establishes the nature of quantum phenomena as being about probabilities.

What you probably don’t know is that it is no different than a magician's trick that is designed not only to take your tax dollars – without much entertainment involved – but create a mysterious universe where explanations don’t need to make sense at all. In this example, the trick only works when monochromatic light is used.

Scientists explaining the experiment – that are aware of the deception – won’t tell you that red photons are larger than blue photons, so will have larger electromagnetic fields. Only one color of light is used because photons of different color wavelengths will have different patterns through the slit. A red photon will be larger than a blue photon, and so will its magnetic field. Using a light with more than one color the experiment will break down completely, because the effect depends entirely on the wavelength of the photon.

Quantum physicists use fanciful, complicated explanations for phenomena that have simple mechanical explanations, even though their field is quantum mechanics. It would be as if David Copperfield tried to convince you that the classic car that just appeared on stage existed in ten dimensions before you were able to observe it, and began to illustrate the maths on a whiteboard if you asked for proof.

Maths is, conveniently, the only science that doesn’t rely on actual evidence.
They pursue parallel universes for which there is no evidence while rejecting parallel theories with plenty. They insist that ten-dimensional worlds exist while ignoring any multi-dimensional arguments that don’t fit their narrative.

There can always be maths for any trick, but it is still a trick regardless. Some of the maths may even seem ‘beautiful’ to those that understand it, further distracting the person from the fact that it is part of an illusion.

You know David Copperfield is a magician, of course, so you know that the trick has a mechanical explanation, even if you couldn’t figure it out for yourself. You know you’re being fooled and are impressed by the masterful execution of the trick.

But imagine that you told the magician that it’s just a trick, and he responded by telling you that you neither understand the magic nor the maths involved. Nevermind that they’re not really measuring anything, but calculating numbers and other variables with little basis in reality.

If you were Science and could eat up a total of one trillion USD a year for research and projects, how much of a show would you put on?

We tend to forget that science is just a more evolved form of the magic of alchemy, from where it originates. Even Isaac Newton was an alchemist (and high-ranking member of a secret, magic-based cult).

We mistake the wonders of capitalism and human ingenuity for the triumphs of science, thinking that the internet, computers, smart phones, modern medicine, aircraft, and other spectacular achievements would have just as easily been developed in communist countries if only the scientific method was applied. Science takes all the credit, but capitalism does all the work. And you’ll have a hard time finding any scientists working *pro bono*. As soon as funding dries up, they’ve disappeared in a puff of smoke.

A researcher that depends on grants and funding from any outside organization will likely be influenced by the needs, opinions, policies, and other influences of that organization, rendering their efforts subjective rather than of the objectivity required by science. Another researcher may not even begin to pursue a hypothesis that may possibly find others in strong disagreement. Further, if the impetus is subjective, the research will not be objective.

We believe in authorities that present complicated explanations and accept them without question, all the while not knowing that we have been deceived, regardless of whether or not those deceiving us are actually aware of it themselves.

Most scientists, we can assume, have good and noble intentions. However, the deception is built into the framework that science relies upon and will produce deceptive results no matter how good the intentions are of the person doing the science.

Most seem to think that reality is only material and the entire universe is a giant machine, including the Earth we call home. Reality, in the scientific view, is made up of inanimate matter that somehow became animate with life, in a mechanical way of course. They prefer you to think that nature has no purpose and has no awareness of itself. It has no will, and even though you are a part of nature your will is meaningless. Nature is completely random, supposedly, and God exists only as a concept in the human mind. Consciousness, to science, is only human and will one day be able to be explained as a function of physical activity in the brain that serves some unknown evolutionary advantage.

They would have you believe that they already understand the nature of reality in principle and have only to work out the details. They want us – the public – to automatically accept its positions as Absolute, and accept their priests as all-knowing, all-powerful and never to be questioned. They, in effect, would prefer you think of *them* as God, and they are happy to show you all the proof for that that they can manufacture.

Science has, ironically, become an ideological belief system rather than a method of
inquiry alone. It has, in effect, become the most authoritative religion on the planet. And a very deceptive one at that, as it does not appear to be an ideology.

After the famous Michelson-Morley experiment used light to test the Earth’s motion around the sun – and found no evidence for it – a new way to explain both light and the universe was needed before the house of cards came tumbling down. Thus, the new and fascinating field of quantum physics was almost immediately ‘discovered’. A new trick was born. If anyone else used light to measure the (non-existent) motion of the Earth in future, the quantum physics deception could then be easily referenced to explain away the discrepancy.

The beautiful maths that illustrate the deception is the stage that is dressed up to distract you from what is really going on. While you were busy looking at the beautiful assistant in the red dress, the magician was distracting you from the trick itself.

If the magician took out a piece of paper and cut it into a thousand pieces, you would be astounded if he was able to put it back together again before your eyes. You might even say, “the pieces fit together so beautifully and, therefore, must be true,” forgetting that it was the magician’s piece of paper that served as the medium for the trick to begin with.

If there were 20,000 such magicians working to find ‘the elusive Higgs-Boson particle’ in a giant manufacturing plant that cost billions of Euros, would it be any surprise that it was ‘found’ right before their funding ran out? That their careers and reputations would have been tarnished if they didn’t find anything had nothing to do with it, of course.

We are to believe in a false reality because if we discover how things really are, the authorities that have maintained control over society for centuries would lose their relevance.

We have only to look for evidence of a single deception and follow where it leads. The powers that be would rather you waste time duplicating the kind of false evidence they have spent the last several hundred years perfecting. They are not interested in evidence that does not fit their agenda, only your submission to their authority.

If you present scientific evidence for something that threatens their power structure – such as Michelson-Morley and other experiments did at the time – they will simply come up with a revised explanation for the universe with ‘beautiful maths’ and have you focusing on its points for many years, instead. They might have said something like, ‘The laws of the electromagnetic spectrum apply everywhere except for a very tiny slice of it called visible light. This tiny space where completely different laws apply just so happens to be influencing your result. And to understand why your result is invalid, there is something new called Relativity by Einstein. Here’s how it works...’

We have only to ask two simple questions about a supposed authority to open Pandora’s box: ‘Have they ever deceived before?’ and, ‘Would they have anything to gain anything from deception?’

For every single act of deception uncovered, there are a hundred more that are ignored, and thousands more that have not yet been discovered. The ultimate deception, of course, is that deception in science is impossible and you are irrational – or even crazy – for merely considering the idea. Science is, supposedly, a noble art that is sacred. They would rather you focus on deception from a lesser authority, such as in government.

Do you really expect them to tell you that you are being deceived?

If we look to the history of the main gatekeeper of information relating to space, the solar system, and the universe, we can see evidence for this deception first-hand.

They do not want you to know that over fifteen hundred scientists working for Hitler – including high ranking officials in the Nazi party, such as Warner von Braun – made up the founding team, even though this is now public information.
Would one of the reasons they would not want you to know be because it might provide a clue as to what purpose the agency might serve today?

We must consider why a supposed sworn enemy was so easily entrusted with top-secret military, science, rocket, and space technology.

We must consider if it makes sense for the US to ask hundreds of its closest enemies to help them with the largest undertaking in history that, if failed or sabotaged in any way, would have set the US back in its Cold War efforts and position as world leader. (That is to say, the enemy was given the nuclear launch codes.)

We must consider their relationship with the Vatican, particularly when there is strong evidence to suggest that a recent Pope was a member of the Nazi party, and was even pictured along with Church cardinals giving the Nazi salute. The Vatican owns or controls nearly every major space observatory in the world and is a direct partner with this agency on various projects.

If you told the average person that this space agency was founded by over a thousand ranking Nazis, what do you suppose they might say?

Knowing this, is it evidence that there has been high deception every moment since its founding?

Knowing this, is it possible that even greater deceptions have taken place?

We are to believe that nearly every astronaut in history belonging to a secret, magic-based society has nothing to do with its founding, its purpose, our how we view the Earth and space.

We are to believe that we cannot go back to the Moon because we lost the knowledge and technology to do so, along with all of the telemetry from all 17 missions and their off-site backups.

We are to believe that we should go to Mars instead of the much easier, cheaper, and closer Moon that we – supposedly – haven’t been to in over 40 years. Further, none of our partner nations who have been to the moon can help us.

We are to believe that asteroids come from deep space and only hit Earth (and our moon) at 45-degree angles and leave perfect impact craters. We are not to question this ‘fact’ because it would immediately reveal the inconvenient reality – to the powers that be – that there are no asteroids from deep space but, rather, local electromagnetic phenomena from our own atmosphere that produce circles.

We are to believe that the Earth spins at over 1,000 miles an hour on an axis and over 650,000,000 miles an hour through deep space, yet there is no record of anyone sensing this movement, not even as a rare medical condition or result of brain damage. Further, the inertia from all of the millions of earthquakes in Earth’s history does not affect our motion or direction through space, yet are sometimes powerful enough to shift the mass of entire continents in substantial and permanent ways.

We are to believe that if the Earth is curved, the sky would not be also. Would scientists claim that the same kind of light refraction that they say exists on the horizon also exists in the sky? We are to ignore when the clouds follow a flat horizon.

We are to believe that there are more than 500,000 pieces of deadly space trash flying around at tens of thousands of miles an hour in orbit around the Earth and even a speck of it could be deadly, yet the ‘International Space Station’ has been unaffected for decades, even though it lay in the path of said debris. Further, practically none of the 60,000 satellites supposedly in orbit have even been affected, and exceedingly few suffer malfunction.

We are to believe that computer-generated images of planets, satellites, and the International Space Station are real photographs.

We are to believe that our Moon is perfectly synchronized with Earth, and its period of rotation is equal to its period of revolution. We should believe it because the phenomenon
was given a name (‘resonance lock’) and there is a detailed explanation for it, so it must be true.

We are to believe that space is a vacuum where rockets and combustion cannot possibly work, yet somehow we have used rockets and combustion in space to send people back from the Moon.

We are to believe that thousands upon thousands of communication satellites have been launched into space via rockets and are in orbit around the Earth, yet more than 95% of intercontinental communications take place via undersea cables and it would be significantly more cost-effective to use balloons instead of rocket launches. Further, GPS can work very accurately through land-based triangulation without the need for expensive satellites, but we are to pretend that satellites are being used because satellites are sexier than balloons.

We are to believe that there is no need for astronauts to ever train in a vacuum, even though space is supposedly a very dangerous and deadly vacuum in which they could die instantly if not properly trained.

We are to believe that rockets with humans inside of them have been able to successfully pass the Van Allen radiation belt without incident more than twenty times, yet cannot find a way to do it today because we forgot how. Further, none of the other countries that have supposedly passed the belt – including five allies working with us on other ‘space’ programs – are willing to tell us how to do it, and may have even forgot how to do it, as well.

We are to believe a supposed ‘lunar lander’ made from curtain rods, cheap reflective covering, and duct tape (as evidenced in high resolution photos available today) made it back from the Moon.

We are to believe that the Earth follows a strange and energy-wasting orbital model seen nowhere else in nature, rather than the Earth following an efficient atomic or toroidal model seen everywhere in nature.

We are to believe that the ‘big bang’ and ‘evolution’ theories – where things become more ordered over time – do not oppose the law of entropy, where order decays over time. Further, we are to believe that this ‘big bang’ created all laws of the universe yet itself was not governed by any laws. It follows that the ‘big bang’ happened randomly, yet perfectly and without any awareness, while not following any laws itself.

We are to believe in the theory of ‘dark energy’ even though without it, Earth would literally be placed at the center of the universe in the calculations of physicists. Further, there is no clear explanation for what this ‘dark energy’ is, but physicists are sure it is what makes up most of the universe (because ‘dark energy’ is code for ‘we have no idea’).

We are to believe that the famous astronomer Edwin Hubble did not know what he was talking about when he observed that redshifts “...would imply that we occupy a unique position in the universe, analogous, in a sense, to the ancient conception of a central Earth”.

We are to believe that life sprang spontaneously from lifelessness, and ‘natural selection’ only functions on pre-existing life forms without needed to start from any life forms.

We are to believe in an evolution of species where no transitional forms (such as a half-fish or half-birds) have ever been found, even though wings and eyes and other essential parts only work when fully formed. Further, we are to believe that a fossil of a half-bird would be far less likely to be found than a full bird because nature hides those things from us – randomly, of course – so we should not expect to find evidence of these transitional birds.

We are supposed to believe that the human genome has all the information that it needs to describe a complete human form even though humans have only about the same number of protein-coding genes as a fruit fly (about 800MB worth of data from 3 billion base pairs). Although this is not nearly enough to account for the ~100 trillion cells in the human body and cannot therefore serve as a full blueprint of human development, we should believe there is nothing else that contributes morphological information in addition to DNA.

We are to believe that ‘social Darwinism’ is not the ideological basis of communism,
fascism, and eugenics. Further we are to believe that science has no ideological motivations
and is never used as a socio-political tool by government.

We are to believe that physicists can perform experiments in a variety of non-physical
dimensions and communicate with particles at the edge of the universe, yet consciousness is
only a by-product of physical activity in the brain.

We are to believe that we are being irrational if we say that the theory of evolution is a
theory, not a fact, even though scientists themselves refer to it as the ‘theory of evolution’.

We are to believe that being unscientific is the same as being irrational, as if the scientific
method is the only way that reality can be discovered and tested, and no one had been
rational before the scientific method came into use.

We are to believe that the scientific method cannot be applied to itself.

This is not to say that evolution, heliocentrism, quantum physics, genetic inheritance, etc.,
are not facts. But when we are asked to accept theories as facts – without question – from a
self-appointed authority that does not tolerate dissent, then we are getting a taste of the
deception that keeps the illusion going.

Pose any of the above to an expert on the matter and they will provide you with a convenient
– and completely fabricated – explanation for what inconveniently reveals itself. “There isn’t
an answer for that” is a response rarely heard, despite not knowing what 95% of the universe
is, from their own misguided calculations.

Such questions would likely prompt the argument that you do not understand science.
What is really meant is that you do not understand the details of the deception, or fail to
accept it.

The same professionals who claim to not understand the universe, reality, energy, or even
gravity (and so, therefore, need endless funding to try to figure it out) will produce a
convenient explanation for every phenomena on Earth that relies on all of these things they
say they do not understand.

It is ironic that Einstein, when asked how it felt to be the smartest person on Earth replied,
“I don’t know. Ask Tesla.” Tesla responded later by saying Einstein’s theories were complete
nonsense and had no basis in reality.

If billions of people can be deceived into believing something as simple as the Earth being
a globe orbiting a sun, imagine all of the other deceptions that you are not aware of and how
much they affect your interpretation of reality.

Whether the Earth is round, toroidal, or whatever, is irrelevant. At the time the deception
went into full gear, the prevailing authority was the Church. Self-appointed intermediaries
performed the work of bringing ‘the word of God’ to a population who, generally, could not
read for themselves. The introduction of the printing press to the Western world caused a
social and cultural revolution that also created major problems for the Church and State.

In order for them to retain power, people’s trust in ‘the word of God’ – which they could
now read for themselves first-hand instead of interpreting the story from stained-glass
windows – had to be diminished. Although libraries and learning for the common person
wasn’t a concept understood at the time, the masses began to look less to the Church for
meaning in their lives and more to each other for an understanding of their place in the
world.

Thus began a silent war over administration of what people considered the ultimate power
in human existence. Simply making it illegal to produce an ‘unauthorized’ translation of the
Bible wasn’t enough to retain their power. They had to make ‘the word of God’ wrong in a
deception that took nearly one hundred years to unfold, and is still in effect to this day.

Slowly, more aggressive ‘agents of Chaos’ were needed in the Church. New, nefarious
organizations formed within it. Whereas for hundreds of years the Church had been teaching that the Earth was the center of reality, they now needed to promote the idea that it was not. The Church pressured Copernicus to publish his heliocentric model of the universe, which directly contradicted with the Bible that they were supposed to uphold. It is astounding to note that neither he nor Galileo had any proof whatsoever – much less actual scientific proof. It was deception, not science.

If they could show – through the new field of science – how the Bible could not possibly be true, people would lose sight of the power within and assign it to the Church and State.

If they could show that animals no longer had souls (even though ‘anima’ means ‘soul’ in Latin, and was what was taught for hundreds of years) and the natural world wasn’t alive but mechanical, they could make people begin to doubt God at a time when there was no separation between Church and State. If they lost power Church-wise, they would still have it State-wise, so it didn’t matter that they were undermining the Bible. If they were losing religious control because people were beginning to interpret the Bible for themselves, they would simply redefine what ‘God’ was and use it to increase the power of the State.

Thus, a ‘science’ branch of the Church was born to promote an anti-God narrative that removed human being – and you – from the center of creation to keep people from understanding what they could then read for themselves. Particularly, that your neighbor and everything and everyone else around you is an intimate part of who you are. And, perhaps most importantly, that you are God.

Besides the heliocentric model of the solar system, the members of a secret, magic-based cult operating within the Church influenced nearly every scientific field. Further, they ‘discovered’ or invented the big bang theory, Peking Man, the Gregorian calendar that we use today, the precursor to the theory of inertia, the forerunner to the modern scientific method, the concept of a vacuum, the field of mineralogy, light diffraction, crystallography, the horizontal pendulum, Egyptology, palaeography, diplomatics (the critical analysis of historical documents), seismology, proof by mathematical induction, the study of binary stars, genetics, the Mercalli scale for measuring earthquakes, acoustics, firearms, the automobile, and more.

For every one priest or monk who ‘discovered’ something, there were tens of thousands of others hard at work. For such a ‘godly’ group of people, why would they be so obsessed with seeking to disprove God? They were (and are) particularly active in the fields of astronomy, archaeology, botany, and geology (including mineralogy and seismology), all fields where evidence could be manufactured for an anti-God narrative.

Noticeably absent are any fields of study and research that would actually help the poor and the needy, or even humanity as a whole. Advances in agriculture to find out ways to increase yields? Cleaner water? Cures for diseases? Peace or diplomacy? Good health? More heat-efficient materials to build houses with? Opening their vast libraries to the public?

The Church had little concern for the millions who had supposedly died from the ‘Black Death’, aside from actually being the cause of the ‘plague’ itself and making sure the ‘right’ people died or disappeared while their food and beverage stayed clean. It was, in effect, receiving donations from the public and getting benefits and special considerations from the State, but not allowing the public to benefit from the discoveries it had made nor using those funds to follow its charter. More importantly, they were working against the very ‘word of God’ they pledged to defend and ignoring the needs of those who supported it.

The Church had worked to take away the very soul of the planet to render God useless by making life and reality itself a series of mechanical processes.

Descartes – a ranking member of a secret, magic-based cult – proposed that nature is split into two parts. The first was matter, which was mechanical and made up the whole of nature. The second part was mind or spirit, which is conscious and to do with reason and rational
thought. No proof or experimentations were needed, only beautiful and well-elucidated philosophical assumptions masquerading as the scientific method.

The new way of thinking ‘rationally’ had an interesting implication: that nature existed only for us to be able to figure out the ways in which we, too, are just as mechanical as nature is.

The scientific method lay at the center of all scientific pursuits. At its heart is the logical fallacy that reality can accurately be perceived through inherently subjective perceptions.

Unfortunately, reality cannot be perceived directly, as it really is.

This logically fallacy is, of course, brushed aside by those whose livelihoods and ideology depend on the integrity of the trick and it never being exposed. They hold on to the false reality that this fact is not relevant to observations.

Each part of the scientific method has consciousness – one of the great unknowns of science – at its foundation. The great triumph of science is being able to work within the illusion and discover complications rather than discover the simple ways that reality comes about. Complications in science are synonymous with beauty. Irrationality is seen as truth as long as it can be beautifully expressed. But in nature, simplicity is beautiful.

This gives us no real scientific facts but theories about the physical world that are, instead, theories about how perspective works. When we look at something and make measurements, we are only measuring a part of our own perspective using tools that exist in other parts. When the totality of something cannot be measured in a single perspective it appears infinite, with an endless number of laws waiting to be discovered.

Any measurement in our perspective is a measurement of our perspective, in a way that is relative to how it is being measured. What would science be without observation?

Nothing has ever truly been observed except for one’s own perspective.

Scientists think that consciousness can’t be measured. Yet, the capacity of consciousness is a form of measurement. One way to measure consciousness would be to simply be aware of something. Consciousness – through relationships and interactions – is itself a measurement of reality. In order for us to perform real science, we must first realize that consciousness is the ultimate instrument.

Science is inherently deceptive because it is not meant to factor in the nature of observation in its methodology. One is to ‘make observations’ but there is no clear definition on what an observation actually is.

It is not meant to discover truth, as it leaves out the very mechanism (consciousness) that is needed to perceive reality. When considering that science evolved from magic and alchemy we could say that science may actually be most useful as a complex system that hides reality in wonderful ways, not discovers it.

Rather than experiencing the laws of physics, thermodynamics, biology, chemistry, etc., we are experiencing the nature of perspective.

The universe is a representation of the process of perspective wrapped up in a fascinating logical narrative. It takes shape as a seemingly endless expression of consciousness, matter, relationships, equations, ideas, geometries, realities, stories, thoughts, and other forms. We look up at the stars and think of them as being many light years away, forgetting that they are as close as our own perspective. It is the greatest trick in the universe.

Like the magic on which it is based, science relies on psychological limitations in the way our brains work. The less you are able to perceive something directly the more you can be deceived. You cannot be easily deceived into thinking that a hard rock is soft, but you can be about things like fossil fuels, earthquakes, volcanoes, genealogy, dinosaurs, and space.

By not factoring in consciousness, science can trick consciousness by filling in the gap
between reality and a narrated illusion. Rather than operating under the assumption that our reality is how we interpret it, we have been conditioned to think that reality is how others interpret it. The line between scientific theory and fact about reality is blurred by design.

If, for example, you asked a geologist where oil comes from you will be told that it is a fossil fuel that has been formed over millions of years by the compression of ancient, biological organisms as deep as eight miles beneath the Earth’s surface. Inconveniently, no organic matter or fossils have ever been found more than three miles down. You will not be told that it was a fantasy dreamed up by John D. Rockefeller for special classification of oil that has no basis in reality.

Or, if you asked a physicist what stars are they will provide you with their usual definition. They won’t tell you that they have only best-guesses, not facts, and that they don’t actually know what stars are.

When authorities meet an inconvenient reality that is different from what they need it to be, science is what can make it fit. In a most distinguished way, of course.

Science is not the enemy, however. It is a doorway to a greater understanding of reality and has provided us with the civilization that we know. There are a number of sciences where deception is not at the forefront, of course. If there were not tremendous benefits to scientific pursuit overall it would not have been able to gain the authority it has. But at its core, science is an advanced form of alchemy and magic.

The scientific method can work wonders to discover more about the nature of perspective – when it is properly and reasonably used.

We can use the wisdom of science to discover what we can become when we begin to rely on our own consciousness for a truer understanding of who and what we really are.

Quantum physics was invented to hide the reality of the Earth being at the center of the mass of the entire universe, something that was proven in numerous, very scientific experiments at the time. What is even more hidden is that you – the observer – is at the center of the universe’s mass, not just the Earth. There is no fundamental reason that the dynamic of locality would magically stop at the Earth’s doorstep. That is because reality flows from the core of your being.

If an entire field can be invented and hundreds of billions of tax dollars can be spent over the past one hundred years on magic, it does not bode well for other fields that hide inconvenient realities.

And it does not bode well for human history, knowledge that has been under the direct control of the very same secret, magic-based cult.

The History Illusion

All of our knowledge has been acquired in the past, but what happens when the past has been altered? What if altering the past could be even easier than altering how people view the present?

If we do not really know the facts about an event that happened twenty years ago, last year, or even yesterday, how are we to know of things that happened hundreds of years ago that continue to have an effect on us today?

It is said that history is written by the victors, but what power does that imply for a group whose members have ruled for centuries? If we can be fooled into thinking that the Earth is much different than it actually is, how easy would it be to convince us that human history is much different than it actually is?

We cannot perceive of history directly so must rely on historical records, which creates opportunities for deception about it from those who have control over such records. You
cannot be easily deceived into thinking that you went somewhere ten years ago that you are sure you didn’t, but you can be deceived into thinking that ‘ancient’ Greece or Rome is ancient, and two thousand years have passed since the time of Jesus.

The more non-local something is the more Chaos – and deception – can play a part.

It is not history itself that would need to be changed, but only information about history.

The powerful members of our society have the ability to re-write history, and often do for their own benefit using means that they control. If they can do this, is it unfathomable that they could have actually written part of our history, not just revised it? Do you think they would pass up such delicious, low-hanging fruit for ethical reasons?

Imagine the power that it could have. Imagine having the ability to control the socio-political landscape and destiny of entire nations by quietly fabricating a history regarding wars, royalty, bloodlines, agreements, and anything else that could be imagined.

Yes, this would certainly be a large effort. But when compared against the monumental and resource-intensive efforts required for actual warfare, its efforts are negligible. Changing history would be a far more cost-effective way to gain control, and a surer way to ‘victory’ than entering into a prolonged war with damaging costs and an uncertain outcome.

Now imagine how easy it would be if there was an authority that controlled access to books and knowledge, and had the resources to pull it off. Imagine an authority so powerful that it was the sole source of knowledge and information from the smallest bits to the most detailed historical accounts. Common people relied on this authority for something as simple as the date and time, and scholars relied on it for an ‘accurate’ account of history for hundreds of years.

Besides having a ‘science’ branch the Church also had a ‘knowledge’ branch where books were copied by monks, by hand. It is no surprise that many of these copies were different from the originals in important ways. But if you wanted to learn anything, you would need to go through the Church. At a time when there was no separation between the Church and State, people relied on the government for nearly all of their information about the world.

In 1545 the Council of Trent – two years after Copernicus finally published his sun-centric Earth model after much persuasion by the Church – authorized the Pope to reform the calendar, something that didn’t occur until the next Pope in 1582.

If you’re wondering why it took nearly forty years to do something that should have taken five to ten years at most, you’re on the right track. Forty years is probably about how long it took to plan one of the greatest deceptions the world has ever seen.

The stated goal of the calendar change was to standardize the date of Easter Sunday throughout Christendom by creating a new calendar and adding a few days to the date.

The addition of ten days was the metafiction that hid the actual change that took place.

People that went to sleep on Sunday, October 4th, 582 AD, woke up on Friday, October 15th, 1582 AD. They were not aware that an extra one thousand years had been added to their calendars because they didn’t have access to calendars. Their only reference for the date and time was the Church. The date could also be deduced from the positions of the stars in observatories, however the Church controlled access there, too.

They were led to believe that the Julian date of Sunday, October 4th, 582 AD, was followed by Friday, October 15th, 582 AD, on the new Gregorian calendar.

Today, we believe that the Julian date of Thursday, October 4th, 1582 AD, was followed by Friday, October 15th, 1582 AD, on the new calendar with no change in weekday continuity.

In order to maintain the proper day of the week for Easter when jumping from year 582 to 1582, ten weekdays would need to be added. Easter of the following year, 583, was April 20th, while Easter of 1583 fell on April 17th, three weekdays before.
The question the Church must have asked itself is, “How far out of sync would the Julian calendar be if we kept it another 1,000 years, until 1582?”

The correct answer would have been ‘thirteen days’, but if they added that many days then in the following year (1583) Easter would have fallen on a Wednesday, instead of Sunday. In order to keep Easter on the right day, they added only ten days.

Today, we see the addition of ten days (instead of what would have been a more accurate thirteen days) as a miscalculation rather than the genius that it actually was, because we aren’t aware of what really happened.

They could never do this today, of course, because information is far more distributed. People can figure out the date and time for themselves. But at a time when the Church had a tight grip on nearly every kind of information they could easily pull it of. Thus, it’s difficult for us to imagine how no one figured it out.

Yet, this was only one part of the elaborate deception.

For decades prior, people – especially monks – had been instructed to write ‘i’ when they wrote the year to denote what we would call today ‘A.D.’, or anno domini in Latin. The year 500, for example, was written as i500 to signify that something was written five hundred years after the birth of Jesus Christ. ‘i’ was used to denote ‘Iesus’ in Latin (from the original ‘Yesu’ in Sanskrit). Later, ‘j’ was used.

It isn’t a stretch of the imagination to think that monks could have added this notation to books that had already been published, not just new ones.

When this practice began to take hold and was made in books, documents, ledgers, coinage, etc., the Gregorian calendar was ready to be implemented.

The addition of the ‘i’-notation was far more accepted than the change to the calendar, which brought much resistance in territories in the dominion of the Church, especially Christian and Protestant ones. Some countries didn’t adopt the change until hundreds of years later. This resistance was due to the extra one thousand years being added to calendars (thus skipping the millennial return of Christ that people had been anticipating), not resistance to the one-time addition of a mere ten days to it. (It wouldn’t have made sense for such extreme protests over hundreds of years to have occured in many ‘Holy Roman’ territories for a simple 10-day addition.)

During the next hundred years the small ‘i’ (and ‘j’) was transformed into a large ‘I’ (and ‘J’) and then, very deceptively, into a ‘1’. Year 600 AD had changed from being written as ‘600’ to ‘i600’ (or ‘j600’) to ‘1600’ over the course of four hundred years, until the changes were finally adopted everywhere by the 18th century.

It may not be a coincidence that the people tasked with engraving these dates in stone on buildings and other important places were called masons. Others were more confused, and sometimes thought that the number ‘1’ and the letter ‘J’ were interchangeable. Eventually, everyone just did what was becoming common practice.

There are a few important reasons why the Church may have decided to add an extra thousand years to the calendar.

The first was that it wasn’t the Church yet. It was the Dharma, what today might be interpreted to be Hinduism. The temples were places to mediate Krishtna-Nitih (the Law of Krishtna) and headed by governors called Pappah (‘one who helps you remember’) in complexes called Vatikans (‘sacred gardens’).

As the message of Krishtna was spreading across Asia (including Europe), the social relevance of the Dharma had increased also. Agents of chaos working within the various Dharmic temples in Europe leveraged their newfound importance for more power and money. In the year 303 AD (1303) Pappah Boniface VIII – an agent of chaos himself – issued a papal decree, Unam Sanctam, to maintain authority over kings so that its property would not be
taxed. That effort failed miserably and resulted in the death of Boniface, but after the First Council of Nicaea in 325 AD (1325) an important document, the Donation of Constantine, was forged. It declared, deceptively, that Etrurian governor Consdaityan (‘demon king’, known today as Roman emperor Constantine I) gave Pope Sylvester I – said to be the 33rd pope of the Catholic Church, from 314 to 335, but was actually the first – and all of his successors ultimate authority over lands controlled by the Etruscan empire. This document was used as evidence that future pappahs – soon known as ‘popes’ -- held authority over the rulers of Europe, which aided greatly in papal negotiations. (It may also be worth noting that the next pope with the name, Pope Sylvester II, was pope during the millennium, from 999 to 1003.)

The Rama-Vatikan in the capital of the Etruscan empire, Rama (named after the Hindu deity), became the Vatican in Roma (or Rome in English). Krishtna-Nitih became Christianity. Temples of self-reflection were converted into churches for worship. The Bible was completely re-written to change names and meanings. The names of people were changed from their original Sanskrit, like ‘Brahma’ and his wife ‘Saraswati’ who were from Hodu (Northern Hindustan, or India), to ‘Abraham’ and ‘Sarah’, for example. Countless other words were changed from their original Sanskrit, like ‘sams’ to ‘psalms’. City and place names were changed, also, such as Bethlechem (‘house of Lakshmi’) to Bethlehem, and Kananda (‘land of Krishtna’s father’) to Canada.

By retroactively changing history, the new Church had found a way to quietly win massive wars without ever having to step foot on a battlefield while appropriating Krishtna-Nitih for its nefarious plans.

They would declare themselves the ‘illuminati’ (from ‘illu’, or forces of nature, and ‘minati’, or focus and meditation) and subjugate Europe, and the world, for hundreds of years more.

The Bible prophesied the return of Christ (formerly Krishtna) for the first millennium, one thousand years after he is said to have been crucified. Even though it was still a few centuries away, people were already ecstatic at the time due to how fast the message of Christ was spreading and how much a part of a person’s everyday life Christ was.

At the time, Christ was as much a part of a person’s everyday life as electricity or communication networks are today. Because the new Church had much to hide, reading the Bible for yourself was considered dangerous.

The First Council in Nicaea (supposedly held in 325 AD) also moved to fix the date of Easter, but did not think about having all Christians celebrate Easter on the same day, which was one of the proclamations of the Council of Trent (supposedly held in 1545 AD).

Are we to believe that it took the Church 1,220 years to think about having Christians celebrate Easter on the same day, or does 220 years seem more plausible? Further, are we to believe that the heliocentric theory that was being pushed by the Church at the same time wasn’t related? Within two years of the Council of Trent in 1545, Copernicus released his heliocentric theory. Within one year of the Gregorian calendar being introduced in Europe in 1582, Galileo Galilei released his heliocentric theory.

Can we assume that they weren’t much concerned with Easter at all at the Council of Trent but were far more concerned with growing their empire using subversive tactics like forged documents and histories, all the while diminishing trust in God?

If it took forty years for the Papacy to act after the Council of Trent, can we safely assume that they are very long-term planners? More likely, they waited forty years to change the calendar (from the time the order was given) because they weren’t in a rush, knowing that they had a few hundred years of cushion to deceptively skip the expected return date of Christ in 1000 AD.

By adding 1,000 years to the calendar they could make up 1,000 years’ worth of historical
precedence to fortify their claims to power. Further, they could make people forget all about Christ returning and claim that nothing happened ‘a few hundred years ago in 1000 AD’, to foster greater distrust in ‘the word of God’.

The Bible had become their main competitor.

Jean Hardouin (1646-1729) was a French Jesuit and classical scholar who was convinced that all of the ancient records of Greece and Rome were forgeries perpetrated by Benedictine monks, and that all of the Greco-Roman artifacts were similarly faked. He also argued that all Greek and Roman coins were forgeries. He ultimately became librarian of the Jesuit Collège of Paris in 1683. As librarian, he came to the conclusion that virtually all classical texts, and most ancient works of art, coins and inscriptions, had been forged by a group of 13th-century monks led by a mysterious figure whom he called Severus Archontius. The goal of this group was supposedly to “establish Atheism amongst men, by paganising all the facts of Christianity”.

That’s because there was no Greek or Roman empire. It was a layer of fiction to hide the evidence of the Dharma-based Etruscan empire that they had infiltrated and took over. They would also use the same tactics to infiltrate, destroy, and hide other Dharma-based empires around the world.

They also didn’t want people to realize they were the very same ‘synagogue of Satan’ the Bible had warned about.

It wasn’t just Benedictine monks, however. The agents of chaos were infesting nearly every religion by then. Those corners that had more read/write authority over documents, maps, historical records, and other important information about history and the world received special attention.

Nearly everything that we know about ancient history comes from just two members of a secret, magic-based cult: Joseph Scaliger, of the 16th century, and Denis Petau of the 17th century. These historians forged and propagated false records of pre-Renaissance history that continues to be used to this day.

Before then, there was no mention of Classical Rome and Classical Athens in history books. History had been re-written, pieced together with material from disparate sources.

Original documents were extremely rare. Most documents were copies of copies of questionable integrity. Any surviving original documents were likely to have been burned and confiscated in the very many purges of the Roman Papal Army after the agents of chaos took control.

The Renaissance itself was not a sudden flourishing of the arts after a ‘Dark Ages’ of exactly 1,000 years but a historical deception. The campaign was financed almost entirely by a single family, the Medicis, who were all members of a secret, magic-based cult.

The most famous Renaissance artists were also members of the same cult. They were tasked with helping to erase the memory of Etruscia in Italy and the rest of Europe.

The Etruscans were subscribed to the ideals of the Dharma (or ‘Quadriga’ in Latin), an ancient ideology based on inner and outer peace. The Quadriga’s four basic principles were something that any nation could adopt, much like democracy is today. Its principles gave birth to several great civilizations and also ideologies throughout history. The native Americans, for example, adopted the four principles into the Great law of Peace, while for the Jains it became non-violence, non-attachment, many sidedness, and asceticism. The Quadriga represented freedom and liberty, giving each person the freedom to choose their own beliefs, choose how to practice them, and choose how to live. The spirit of the Quadriga also lives on in Shintoism, in Japan.

For the agents of chaos, however, this kind of individual freedom and liberty meant the
death of their power. They had been working against the Quadriga ever since the start of their magic (basically, Satanic) rituals in ancient Babylon.

Together, the artists, philosophers, and financiers of the Renaissance would form a new timeline of history in Europe. It was the Donation of Constantine for the general public.

Art factories were developed, with multiple people working under the guise of a single artist that the public could fall in love with. Works and inventions that had been stolen or confiscated were re-interpreted and re-introduced as Greek and Roman works. Newly-discovered artists and philosophers who didn’t really exist began to appear out of thin air.

‘Plato’, for example, was philosopher Gemistus Pletho (1360-1454). He was the chief pioneer of the ‘Greek scholarship’ movement in Western Europe. In his literary work, the Nomoi, he rejected Christianity in favour of ancient Hellenic Gods and other magic-based rituals. At the 1438–1439 Council of Florence, he provided Cosimo de Medici with his documents, who then gave them to Marsilio Ficino in 1462, who then translated them into Latin by 1484. Thereafter, a new Platonic Academy had formed (financed by Medici) to translate all of Plato’s works into Latin. Socrates and Aristotle are also related fictions, appropriated from the works of others.

People would believe in the new history without question because, after a few generations of the authorities repeating the same lies, it had become ‘true’.

We are to believe that after hundreds of years of philosophy, there were ancient Greeks who just stopped thinking, inventing, and making other advancements.

We are to believe that all the ‘great’ philosophers of the 1,000-year period from 5th-15th centuries were connected directly to the Church and, so, could only be verified through it.

We are to believe that the Roman army, on which the supposed Roman Empire rested, was unable to improve its weapons and military tactics during nine centuries of wars.

We are to believe that there was no significant improvement in sanitary conditions in Western Europe for 1,000 years.

We are to believe either that the population in Europe did not grow at all in 1,000 years, or 120% of the population was conscripted to the various armies of England and France.

We are to believe that all of the books and knowledge of the European world was locked away in the Library of Alexandria in Egypt, months of travel away from any European, and was burned, resulting in a complete loss of knowledge.

We are to believe the ‘Dark Ages’ lasted exactly 1,000 years before progress continued, not a more odd time-frame such as 750 years or 1150 years. Additionally, if there was any progress during that time it was made by a priest or a monk.

We are to believe that ancient Romans built elaborate structures such as temples, bridges, and aqueducts without precise and elaborate calculations. using only Roman numerals (which cannot be added together). Further, they used only these numerals until the 14th century for any other advanced calculations.

We are to believe ancient Romans built a vast empire spanning continents without a single good map.

The ‘scientific method’ of historically dating things is radiocarbon dating, which can use only material that was once part of a living organism. That means if an archaeologist wants to date a building or a vase, they would need to find an organism in it, or nearby. They would then suggest a period of time for the organism based on Scaligerian historiography to determine what date range the object should have. (How they would know if the organism is approximately the same age as the object in question is anyone’s guess.)

Most radiocarbon dating labs only accept samples with an age estimate suggested by historians or archaeologists. This is because the process itself is useless. Further, the results
are based on the speed of the decay of carbon, which is unknown.

Other methods are also based on the same carbon dating process. In other words, the scientific method used for history is about as unscientific (and irrational) as one can get.

It is generally accepted that no scientific model can be proven to relate to reality. We can define the accuracy of any model by its utility.

Good science is defined by how well the resulting model works, not by the methodology used to create it. Radiocarbon dating is only the methodology, but the results are only as useful as the assumptions made. There is no way for the results received to be tested.

Indeed, radiocarbon dating is probably the best illustration of the severe limitations of the scientific method. The scientific method is supposed to be a process for creating reliable models for prediction. Yet, it is entirely dependent on subjective human perception and bias.

The predictability of science allows us to dismiss its inherent irrationality. But what if those predictions are actually leading questions that only allow for certain answers?

The Grand Deception is not based on hiding information from you that you could eventually discover on your own, but changing the definitions of concepts and redirecting your attention so that the reality is very difficult to conceive. It is, essentially, an inversion of reality where good is bad, bad is good, left is long, and right is short.

Amateur deceivers simply lie. Masters of deception work to change the very fabric of reality so that you can only see what they show you.

The best way to hide the true nature of reality is to put it right in front of your eyes and turn it into fiction. If a lie is repeated over and over from the time you begin learning about the world until your last breath it will be believed without question. The lie is then so thoroughly implemented that any evidence to the contrary will almost always be brushed aside, no matter how strong the evidence is.

Ideology depends entirely on history. By manipulating history the masters of deception have been able to use the ideologies of religion and science to assume control over entire populations throughout history.

Q Metaphysics holds that you are what you perceive. You cannot know of anything beyond your own perception. Whatever is true is independent of perspective. If there are agents of chaos who are deceiving you and hiding your truer nature, it is only because they are aspects of your own perspective playing these roles.

Further, all information is local to your perspective, including everything in time and space. This is illustrated in three dimensions as a universe that does not need to go any further than the heavens that we see. (That is to say, beyond the limits of our perspective.) This is the reality that ‘the powers that be’ do not want you to understand. It is the power of an ultimate self that would make them irrelevant.

The more locally we focus our perspective (e.g., friends, family, and self) the less ‘authority’ there needs to be, and the less deception there will be. The less locally we focus our perspective (e.g., media, internet, distant events, government) the more chaos participates in the illusion and the more deception there will be.

Although we have been conditioned to believe that we are passive observers of reality rather than authors of our own perspective, we must learn to love those who seek to deceive us if we are to understand that everything – including the ‘enemy’ – exists entirely within it.
Part 2
Beyond Reality: How You Are Deceiving Yourself

Scientists now understand that 'color' doesn’t exist outside of the brain's interpretation of it. As well, there are no universal rules that define 'color' solely on the physical properties of light. Color is subjective rather than objective, even though it appears consistently throughout your reality. What your brain does is perform an amazing feat of calculation, taking the relationships between the impulses generated from cells in your eye and interpreting them as color where no real color exists. Rather than being a property of the thing that we're looking at, color is a sensation that exists entirely in our minds.

We will learn that this process happens throughout our reality in ways that are difficult to grasp consciously but whose result we are aware of nonetheless.

The process of interpreting one and two-dimensional information as being our three and four-dimensional reality is not a deception, but a function of perspective. What seems like deception is just how perspective works. The deceptions that we see illustrated in our reality in different forms (such as in Part 1) are really just what we experience when we try to figure out how it all works.

It is easy to look at an object and think you are looking directly at it, without realizing what you are seeing is nearly 100% empty space. Here we will discover the ways that you are deceiving yourself, and where the deception in your reality originates from.

Our journey begins with a story.

The Story of the Boy and the Genie

There once was a boy who met a genie. The genie gave him 3 wishes.

"I wish for an endless supply of wishes," the boy commanded with a smart grin.

"Very well," said the genie, herself smirking, not having heard that one before. "You have an endless supply of wishes, plus two."

The boy didn't take long to think of another wish. It was something that he had been thinking of for quite some time. He wished for a spaceship to take him far away from Earth, which immediately appeared. He used it to travel quite far away from his home, his parents, and his friends, and along the way he wished for things like air, food, water, friends to play with, and anything else he wanted.

Although the journey to other worlds was fun, after a while he grew tired and wished for an endless amount of physical energy so that he'll never have to rest. Though even after that he still had an unlimited supply of wishes left, which somehow comforted him for now. Yet, he was still quite lonely because he continued to realize that his every experience, from his friends to the air he breathed, was an illusion.

"They only came to be and came to play because I wished it," he thought. "It was all an illusion, really."

He eventually came back to Earth because he grew lonely and missed the things he could not control. He was actually tired of creating his reality, and missed dreaming about things and getting excited about them. He missed fighting with his brother because it also meant that he liked making up with him and felt good about his ability to defend himself or try to run faster. He missed his homework because it gave him something to do and meant that he would have a sense of accomplishment when he finished it. He missed his parents because even though he didn't agree with everything they said and it was far from a perfect family, they had been there since the beginning and knew him better than anyone else.

Along the way, he had somehow lost all hope. But he was hoping to gain it back.

After considering all of this for quite some time he decided that life is better left to its own
elements.

His last wish from his endless supply was to ‘undo’ his first wish, and return the endless supply of wishes back to the genie. He destroyed his never-in-a-lifetime chance at getting whatever he wanted.

He lived a much happier life after that for a number of years. But still, in the back of his mind was the lingering thought that it was all an illusion. That somehow his life, which he was now quite happy with, was something that he wished for and wasn’t real. Perhaps it was all just a dream that he wished for as he talked in his sleep, and the genie hadn’t told him. He wanted to feel more secure than that. He wanted life to be itself, chaotic and wild and good and bad, and to be as real as it could possibly be. He wanted to feel alive.

“What could be worse than feeling one does not exist?” he would ask himself.

This troubled him far too much and he soon began to lose sleep over it. One day he made a decision.

He finally used his second wish. “I wish I never met the genie!” he exclaimed.

With those words and a puff of smoke the genie disappeared, never to return again. In some ways it was already too late. Having had an endless supply of wishes, the boy was a genie himself. But for the remainder of his life he never once considered it, nor thought it was even possible.

His best wish, by far, was to be able to forget that he ever knew that part of himself and all the things he could do by simply asking for it.

And for the remainder of his life he never realized he had one more wish left.

The Birth of Reality

Imagine you could do anything, be anything, know anything, simultaneous to the desire or want or need. Imagine you were an omnipotent being in all imaginable (and unimaginable) ways.

Imagine you existed as information and could interpret your reality however you pleased. How boring and purposeless would that be? How would forgetting who and what you are – and trying to find your way back – be far more exciting? What greater challenge could there be? How much more meaningful would your understanding be if you actually had to work for it? How interesting would it be to create wonderful illusions to bring a sense of purpose to your existence? Could limiting your infinite potential be more rewarding than experiencing infinite power?

Reality is not an illusion, but is the very real field of relationships formed between illusions. To be conscious of this field is the experience of reality.

To be conscious of something we must measure it in some way. This can be easily done by comparing it against something else. By being aware of something, we are actually measuring (or, defining) our own consciousness.

A root of the word consciousness is the Latin conscius, ‘to know with’. It is a close relative of the word science, which is also derived from scire, ‘to know’. Although we cannot know anything without consciousness, in the breadth of all our sciences we have no definition for what consciousness is. Consciousness and science are connected at the root, but you’d never know it by how far they apart they’ve grown. We cannot know if we’ve really progressed without knowing how far we’ve come.

Is there anything that you’re completely aware of? Reality is unlike our experience – we can only perceive our interpretations. We cannot see, hear, touch, taste, feel, or even think beyond our perspective. For us, our perspective is all that is, but is not yet enough to perceive reality. The day that we give up the notion of truth is the day that we learn to work between
the illusions to find out, instead, those relationships in our perspective that truly work for us.

Yet, true reality cannot be perceived directly. Truth has no perspective. Illusions are more important than reality because they allow relationships to exist, whereas absolute reality does not. Our ‘reality’ is made up of these relationships, and is far more than an illusion. The illusions ‘create’ consciousness simply by relating to each other, making up the geometry of perspective we call reality.

Watching a film, the illusion of motion is very convincing. We are so engaged in the drama of the film we forget that we are watching individual frames that have no motion. How much more difficult would it be to see these illusions in reality? The magic happens in the relationships between the frames of our reality to give us perspective.

There is no one point that you can say, ‘This is my self’ because there is nothing to point at. You are wanting to see between the frames but end up focusing on the frames themselves for the answers because you can see them.

The notion of self must, paradoxically, relate to other things. There is no self without what you don’t consider your self to be. In order to be you must relate, as you cannot be by yourself. What you perceive is much more of your real self than your body alone is. The further away in time and space something appears to be, the less related to your self it is (i.e., the more deceptively it hides your truer self). The more close it is, the more related it is to who and what you really are. At your very core, you are the method in which you are able to perceive.

In order to experience anything, you must relate to what you are experiencing. By experiencing, you are interacting with a part of your self you do not yet sense your self to be.

In order for consciousness to be, it must be conscious of it being something else. Your brain reflects this a bit in its mirror neurons, which fire the same way if you do something as they would if you see someone else doing the same thing. That’s because when someone else does it, it is still your perspective (and it is really another part of you that is doing it).

That you may be conscious, you must forget that you are something else already, but still interact with it as if you’re not.

This brings us back to the word consciousness, ‘to know with’. We are conscious when we know with something else, forming a relationship. But, as relationships vary, the perspective that we are aware of is relative.

We are conscious by relating to one thing more and another thing less. As an example, the more aware we are of dreams the less aware we are of waking reality. The more we are aware of details the less we are able to see the big picture. This continuous balancing of things that appear to be opposites is how perspective comes about.

We can consider the measurement of the relationships between things to be the fundamental force of Order, while the calculation of this relationship to be the fundamental force of Chaos. Measurement is a contraction (or definition) of a relationship, while calculation is its expansion (or growth).

The force of Order in our perspective that seeks endless contraction is often interpreted in our reality as a sense of self that seeks to define Chaos and make sense of its noise. The point of contraction is ‘self’.

The force of Chaos in our perspective that seeks endless expansion is often interpreted as a sense of a vast, external reality that seeks growth at any cost. The omni-directional expansion is the ‘invisible hand’ that pulls in all directions away from the self, proclaiming itself as the ‘authority’ of all reality. These are the agents of chaos in our perspective, and they are us.

These forces seem to be opposed but are actually two different interpretations of the same
force. If, for example, you made a clockwise motion with your finger pointing to your face, it will become counter-clockwise as you point away from your face while maintaining the same direction of rotation.

This massive, immersive game of hide-and-seek is one that you play with different aspects of your own self. Naturally, it isn’t easy to figure out what is really going on, or even which direction is the right one.

Yet, all of your perceptions point to a perspective whose origin is your own self.

What Is Perception?

The act of perception could be more appropriately termed ‘pattern-making’. When we perceive, we build a logical narrative out of the noise of Chaos. Identities are built around the patterns we form and the experiences are organized into memories.

We reduce the most complex parts of our perspective into something that we can easily relate to. Instead of having to perceive systems of complex electromagnetic forms that have no clear definition, we reduce it into a more stable physical form. Instead of having to experience the chaotic nuances of atoms entering someone’s body we simply see the person getting sick or feeling energized.

What we sense, think, feel, and experience is a reduction that makes a complex something more easy to perceive.

By understanding how we perceive we can begin to understand how perspective works. We can then start re-interpreting reality as we want. Everything that exists, from atoms to food, history, ideas and more, are all interpretations of these complex relationships that we can consciously refashion. Rather than trying to change things that aren’t really there (such as things we see) we can change how we measure our reality in order to change how it is calculated.

The Common Perspective

You perceive in order to exist. You have perspective simply because you are not able to perceive of reality directly. Yet, perspective is the one common denominator that unites – and is the source of – everything in your reality.

Everything that could possibly be perceived works in the same simple way because what you are sensing and experiencing is the simplicity of how perspective works. You look at the sun and do not realize that the sun you see is not only as far away as your own perspective but works in the same way as your perspective does. All you need to do to understand reality is understand what is actually happening when you experience reality.

The only thing that is ‘universal’ is your perspective. So, then, you could say that the universe is actually you.

But in the beginning of it all – if there is such a thing – you had no way to ‘know’ that you existed because there was nothing else to compare yourself with. You might have divided yourself up into a seemingly infinite number of pieces and forgotten that you did just that, allowing each piece to interact with the other so that they could form relationships and make the illusion stronger.

The upside of strong illusions is that your existence is obvious. The downside is that you are surrounded by the deception of Chaos until you relate to the different aspects of your perspective enough to be secure in your existence without them.
If one thing relates to something else, then it is conscious. You are not conscious because you are a biological organism or have a brain with which to think and eyes from which to see but because you can simply relate to and interact with things that seem to not be you.

Because each thing is a reduction of something else which, in turn, is a reduction of something else, and so on, it is of no particular importance what kind of relationships we explore or interactions we have. It only matters how balanced they are. This means that playing lots of games and smoking cigarettes all day could be just an ‘enlightening’ as spending years meditating in a temple. Harmony comes from a good balance of forces in your reality, not from the imbalance of forcing yourself to be ‘good’ or thinking that you should have only ‘good’ experiences.

As we relate to the things around us, so do we measure our relationships with the things in our perspective. As we interact with our perspective, so does our reality become.

The Reality of the Illusion

In the cognitive sciences it has been demonstrated that a simulation of something will make the brain react in the same way as it would react to the 'real' version of that thing. Although your brain can distinguish between physical illusion and reality it responds similarly to both. The brain knows that both are illusions, but consciously we do not think that way. And interestingly, the brain maps what you think happened rather than what actually happened, and may not know the difference between the two kinds of experiences.

Your brain doesn't care about what you think is reality, because what seems fake and what seems like the real thing is the same type of illusion.

Is mentally humming a tune a reality or an illusion as compared with the 'real' song? How about listening to the song on the radio? Or over a telephone? Or listening on headphones? Seeing a live performance of the song? All of these are interpretations, and each is an illusion as valid as the others. There is no true reality of the song but interpretations.

We aren't emotionless if we see a painting or drawing of someone being hurt, even though we know it is not ‘real’. Even an animated movie could bring us to tears and could change our lives. If you see an onion next to a painting of an onion, which is more relative to your perspective; the onion that smells like paint, or the one that can make your eyes water? If you're a chef, then perhaps the onion you can cook with is more relative. If you're an artist then perhaps the onion in the painting is more to your taste.

Only the conscious mind is concerned with physical reality because it isn't aware of the many non-physical ways that reality comes about. It fools itself into thinking that physical interpretations are the only ones that matter.

It should be a bit obvious now that we can determine the basic nature of all reality by taking a closer look at the only way we could possibly know of reality – perception. And it is no coincidence that the secret of perception is actually hiding in plain sight: in the nature of the human eye.

In modern science, the muscles in the eye expand and contract to allow for more or less light to enter the pupil, as needed. Just as the eye is a way to channel information to the brain, light is a way to channel information to the eye.

To illustrate what is going on at the core of reality, let’s imagine that any photon of light entering the eye has two states: at-rest and in motion. When the photon is at rest it is communicating information about relationships (or, measured geometry). When the photon is in motion and is communicating information about interactions (or, calculated movement).

In order to see something, you need to have both kinds of information at the same time. But the more you have about one kind, the less you can have about the other. The more
When you understand that everything in your perspective is an illustration of who and
what you are then you have discovered a very important part of the equation. The missing pieces of your true identity are not in your name, how you look, where you live, what your job is, or how many friends you have. Although you can only ever experience the extent of your own perspective, the true ‘you’ is *everywhere* in your reality. The important question is, ‘How am I relating with the me around me?’

How we interpret things is different from the actual meaning behind them. We cannot actually perceive the meaning directly, though we can know when something is meaningful. Something that appears to be bad could actually be very beneficial to your life, for example. We might see or experience a reduction that we don’t like, but it may be part of a calculation that may not have otherwise been possible.

Most importantly, the things you see and experience are different interpretations of yourself. When you see something you like or hate, for example, you are experiencing another aspect of yourself.

Your true identity is a reduction of all the things you’re interacting with in your thoughts, feelings, beliefs, and experiences, in such a self-sure way that nothing needs to be measured at all. In your most basic form, you are not the things and experiences of your perspective but the purity of existence itself.

**The Perfect Reality**

We can either have truth or we can have perception. We can't have both. If there's ‘truth’ there's no perception of it. If there’s perception, ‘truth’ cannot be perceived. We can perceive only an illusion that is more than a good-enough approximation of something that cannot be perceived directly. In truth, the illusion is the reality.

Reality is the resistance between illusions. However, we can deceive ourselves when this illusion is out of balance in ways that we interpret as being deceived by others.

Forget about what something looks like or feels like. Forget that your bed might be a little too uncomfortable or the neighbors might be too loud on weekends. Forget for a moment about how money seems to have a life of its own and might be thinking of leaving you, not coming home for weeks at a time. Those are your interpretations, and they are not permanent.

Focusing on your interpretation of things is like getting a present and obsessing over the wrapping, completely ignoring what’s inside. Life's wrappings are not the gifts. But yet, this is what we do. We focus on the interpretations and assume that our reality is how it appears to be on the surface. We can always wrap it up with something else if we don’t like the first one.

What is a perfect reality? It is not the perfect job or the perfect life according to your hopes and dreams. It doesn't mean getting what you want. The subconscious mind doesn't care about how many times you've fallen on your ass or how many obstacles are in front of you. It doesn't care about how many cars you think you own or how much you think you recycle. Those experiences exist in your complex interpretation of reality, not in the simplicity of reality itself. How you interpret yourself is far more important than what kind of life you have or what surrounds you.

A perfect reality is a fluctuating balance of all interpretations, not just the ones that you like. And you only need to worry about the folds of your immediate reality, not what is happening on the other side of the world. Chaos will have you believe that what isn’t local is more important than all the things that are. That way, it will be much more difficult to figure out that the source of your reality is also local – you.

Reality works in ways you cannot imagine. You may not see it as being ‘wonderful’ if someone dies from lung cancer, for example, after having smoked cigarettes for 20 years, even
though it is perfectly reasonable as far as nature goes.

The subconscious mind sees relationships, not the illusions that we focus on. It knows only that the person had been interacting with something (that we interpret as cigarettes, which have acids that severely reduce the cells) and another relationship to balance it out formed over time (that we call cancer, a harmful expansion). As far as perspective is concerned, the relationship worked out harmoniously well, just as it did for another person with another type of cancer that their smoking helped to reverse.

Chaos will have you believe that nature is bad. But, of course, it wouldn’t be called that. Nature’s preferences and varied relationships would be called ‘racism’ or ‘sexism’, while the things that work against nature it would promote and call ‘progress’.

The natural process that ‘creates’ your reality is perfect. How you decide to experience it is entirely up to you. There is an endless variety of interpretations. Pick one. If it doesn’t work, pick something else. How beautiful is it that you’re not stuck with one interpretation? You could pick and re-pick thousands of times if you wanted to. And that’s exactly what we do moment after moment, day after day, to give us the motion of our experiences.

It is understandable that you could get lost in the drama of all these interpretations and think that it must come from somewhere else – surely not your own mind. But it is entirely in your perspective and so you have a choice in how to interpret it. You are perfectly free to interpret the relationships that are already there, however you want.

‘You’ is not just the you that you see in the mirror. You is perspective. You are everything born of nothing-in-particular in all of its hell, peanuts, and glory.

Yet, it’s impossible to look at yourself directly, even though you try through an endless variety of perceptions and perspectives. You don’t remember yourself completely, nor do you need to. You try through all manner of drama of every possible measure to form a universe of things that – for better or for worse – cannot capture even a small fragment of the impossible-to-perceive.

You formulate all of the songs, birds, laughter, love, clouds, hats, and swords in the world and search across the heavens of your mind to try – time and time again – to capture your essence. Your every experience and perception is folded into your current perspective. Your life is life itself.

But this is a paradox. You don’t want to be nothing again. Not existing is so boring and lonely. You only want to be able to perceive yourself, but by doing so you get lost in the everything. This is how you like it.

Being many-things-at-once reminds you of being nothing-in-particular, and that’s not the direction you want to go. You want the illusion of something-in-particular; far more exciting! You don’t, for example, want to know exactly how you breathe – you just want to breathe. You don’t need to know the intimate details of your cells and hormones and other internal processes when your body needs adenosine triphosphate. Why should you care? You only need to feel the hunger. You don’t need to have intimate knowledge of your body needing more DHA than it did five years ago. You only know you now love to eat salmon more than before. When you eat, you don’t need to know how your body’s positive enzymes strip the negative electrons of what you digest, you just think about what you’ll be doing after you’ve finish eating.

And here you are all ways. Impossible to define, impossible to perceive, impossible to merely think of. But how wonderfully amazing it is that you try again and try again, thanks to the endless efforts of a Chaos that seeks to hide yourself from your self.

Are we alone in this effort? Are you alone? If everything in your perspective is you, what and
who else is there? It would seem from this that you would be, in this illustration, a party of one. What else would there be if you were everything? Ahh... But you are not actually 'everything' because then you wouldn't exist. You are an *interpretation* of everything. You are not alone because then you'd be nothing-in-particular, all by yourself with nothing else to relate to, and thus not existing at all. Being 'alone' is irrelevant. You could not be *more* surrounded by everything. What 'alone' is there when you can form relationships with anything in your perspective?

You, a universe of being to explore locally with an endless variety of things to fold up into interpretations to interact with. It's certainly a mouthful, but all of this love, the beauty, the sadness, the cheeky grins, countless wars and all the stories and songs that will ever be written are a part of something so amazing it cannot actually be defined.

This particular story – the epic drama of existence – is all that needs to be and is the most real thing that could ever possibly be. Nothing is insignificant when there is so much of something else with which to compare. Better than merely being something that exists, you are that which can *relate* with whatever you can imagine existing. You are as perfect a balance between chaos and order as there ever could be while still being, and you don't even realize it yet.

You are all that you need to be right now. There is no need to do anything else, be anyone else, or go anywhere else, other than what you are doing to unfold your self right now in perspective. Until, of course, you re-interpret your self again and continue to explore who you are.

In one word, the you that you are is *God*.